Using Results Based Accountability For The Juvenile Justice System

Presented by:
Ron Schack, Ph.D.
Partner, The Charter Oak Group, LLC

March 19, 2015

---

## Components of Presentation

- Services Framework
- "Working" Results Statement
- "Working" Set of Indicators
- Common Performance Measures by Strategic Area
- Current RBA Efforts In Juvenile Justice Arena in CT
- Next Steps In Refining and Implementing Results-Based Accountability

---

### Services Framework Used In Prior JJPOCC RBA Development Work

**Overall Services Design**

| Prevention Services (pre-court involvement) | Prevention and Diversion Serivces (after court initial involvement) | Intervention/ Treatment (examples) | Re-Entry/Aftercare (examples) |
|---|---|---|---|
| ■ Neighborhood Centers<br>■ Truancy Remediation<br>■ JRB's | ■ JRBs<br>■ CYFSCs<br>■ Other Diversion Programs | ■ Substance Abuse Treatment<br>■ Community-Based Services<br>■ Strength-Based Approach<br>■ In Home Family Therapy | ■ Safe Housing<br>■ School Reentry Services |

**Educational and Vocational Services**

---

## "Working" Quality of Life Results

- All CT children at risk of justice involvement or justice involved will realize their full potential and live safe and independent lives.

- All state residents are safe and have a fair and responsive juvenile justice system

- Other QLRs developed: Children's Committee

---

## "Working" Indicators for youth

- Crime Rate
- referred to court – FWSN
- referred to court for delinquency/criminal charges
- incarceration rate
- suspension/expulsion
- in school or with HS diploma
- rate of substantiated abuse and neglect
- access to medical services (includes preventative treatment)
- justice involved
- re-arrest after justice involvement

---

### Common Performance Measures

- Performance assessed through an *array of common performance measures linked to the activities/services* provided

- Common set of measures across programs - allows for appropriate comparisons.

## How Much

☐ # served in program, by type of service

☐ access to service

☐ received services

## How Well (%)

☐ Program completion rate
☐ Evidence based services offered
☐ "Fidelity to model" standards
☐ Program attendance rate
☐ Staff with appropriate qualifications
☐ Staff experience
☐ Staff/client ratio
☐ Cost per participant
☐ Cost per service
☐ On-going staff training
☐ Family-oriented services (of those with identified need)

## Is Anyone Better Off?

- Positive outcomes for all program participants (in %)
  ☐ engaged in positive social activities
  ☐ in employment, education, or training at exit
  ☐ with high resilience
  ☐ Prevention
  ☐ with no court involvement

- Diversion
  ☐ diverted (not in court)

- Intervention / Treatment
  ☐ no further court involvement
  ☐ adequate youth compass (or similar) scores
  ☐ increase in standardized scores

- Reentry/Aftercare
  ☐ no further court involvement

## System Development And Progress Measures (% - youth clients)

☐ in school, in training, or employed

☐ court involved youth committed or on probation

☐ new convictions

☐ successfully completing their programs

☐ successfully completing supervision [probation or parole, disaggregated]

☐ relative rate index [by point in system (e.g., arrest, detention, conviction, sentence)]

## Current RBA Efforts in Juvenile Justice Arena

- DCF
- CSSD
- DOC
- Children's Committee
- SDE
- Provider Associations

## Next Steps and Plan Development Schedule

- Validate/Revise Results Statement and Indicators
- Determine where individual agencies and provider groups are in the RBA Development Process
- Refine and enhance common performance measures for each strategic area
- Create implementation plan and toolkit for agencies, including:
  ✓ population level model,
  ✓ common performance measures, and
  ✓ initial guidance for procurement, contracting, and performance reporting

- April 2015
- Early May 2015
- May-June 2015
- June-July 2015

Ron Schack, PhD.
The Charter Oak Group, LLC